From the

To:				PCI		
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT		
				•	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/yea	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second she	et)
• •	licant's or agent's file torm PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	rnational application NT/N2005/000086		International filing date (day/month/)		Priority date (day/month/year) 18.03.2004	
	rnational Patent Class 7. A61K9/00 A61h		both national classification 7/14	and IPC		
	licant NACEA BIOTEC	LTD.	·			
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicati	ons relating to the fol	lowing items:	-	
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the of	oinion			
	⊠ Box No. II	Priority				1 :1:1
	⊠ Box No. III			ard to novelty, I	nventive step and industrial applica	Dility
	□ Box No. IV図 Box No. V	Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; c		s.1(a)(i) with req s supporting su	gard to novelty, inventive step or ind ch statement	lustrial
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	nents cited			
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defect	s in the international ap	plication		
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain obser	ations on the internatio	nal application		
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION				
	written opinion of the applicant cho	of the Internation ooses an Autho reau under Rule	ial Preliminary Examinir rity other than this one t	ng Authority ("IP o be the IPEA a	ion will usually be considered to be EA") except that this does not apply nd the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Searching Authority	y where
	submit to the IPI	EA a written rep mailing of Form	ly together, where appre	opriate, with am	of the IPEA, the applicant is invited endments, before the expiration of of 22 months from the priority date,	to 3 months
	For further optio	ons, see Form P	CT/ISA/220.			
3.	For further detai	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.			
! !	•					
	me and mailing addre	ess of the ISA:	Date of	completion of	Authorized Officer	The S. Palantin

this opinion

Scarponi, U



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaag 2 form NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas PCT/ISA/2 Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl PCT/ISA/210 Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3292





IAP16 Rec'd PCT/PTO 13 SEP 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IN2005/000086

Basis of the opinion Box No. I 1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)). 2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: a. type of material: a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing b. format of material: on paper in electronic form c. time of filing/furnishing: contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in electronic form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. 4. Additional comments: Box No. II Priority The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority 1. does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim 2. has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

BEST AVAILABLE COTY

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

13

International application No. PCT/IN2005/000086

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of						
	the entire international application					
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 23					
because:						
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 23					
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:					
	In furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
	In furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b).					
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See Supplemental Box for further details					

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-25

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

CN

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-25

No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-22,24-25

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

IAP16 Rec'd PCT/PTO 18 SEP 2006

10/593136
International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IN2005/000086

Re Item III

14

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Present claim 23 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(l) PCT).

Re Item V

WY

Will

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

- D1: WO 97/26917 A (CHEMISCH ADVIESBUREAU) 31 July 1997 (1997-07-31) Unless otherwise indicated, reference is made to the relevant passages emphasized in the International Search Report.
- **V.1.** For the assessment of the present **claim 23** on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.
- V.2. Present claims 24-25 contain a reference to the description (and to the examples). According to Rule 6.2(a) PCT, claims should not contain such references except where absolutely necessary, which is not the case here.
- V.3. The subject-matter of present claims 1-25 is novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

In fact, the **document D1**, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses topical compositions for the treatment of skin affections and the process to prepare them. Those compositions are prepared by mixing a two-phase system, i.e. an oily phase containing an emulsifying system (comprised of higher and lower HLB surfactants) and a lipophilic active agent like vitamin E, and an aqueous phase containing soluble components together with a gel-former polymer. From this, the subject-matter of present independent

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Separate Sheet) (Sheet 1) (EPO-April 2005)

claims 1, 22 differs in that the gelling agent is not finally added to the aqueous phase before mixing, but instead the oily phase is preliminarily gelled by a gelator surfactant system. The subject-matter of present claims 1-25 is therefore novel as already stated (Article 33(2) PCT).

On the other hand, the **problem** to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as the preparation of improved gelled topical compositions for the administration of active ingredients (notably the ingredients of present dependent claims 4-6). The **solution** to this problem proposed in present independent claims 1, 22 of the present Application (i.e. the preliminary gelling of an oily system by a gelator mixed-surfactant system, before mixing with an aqueous system) is considered as involving an inventive step (**Article 33(3) PCT**) because it is not rendered obvious by the compositions and the processes of the prior art, wherein an oily phase (containing a mixture of surfactants and an active agent) is mixed with an aqueous phase containing a gelling agent. The subject-matter of present **claims 1-25** is therefore considered as involving an inventive step (**Article 33(3) PCT**) as already stated.